

Empowering Mentees: A Growth Mindset Approach to Faculty Mentorship

Bartula, A., Frantz, A., Khan, N., & Siddique, J.

University of North Texas - Dallas

Mentoring is a critical component of academic and professional development, offering essential support across disciplines and career stages. Despite its importance, early-career faculty and students frequently encounter challenges such as self-doubt, imposter syndrome, and a lack of adequate guidance, which can hinder their progress and confidence. Traditional mentoring models, while beneficial, may not sufficiently address these psychological and motivational barriers. A growth mindset approach to mentoring provides a transformative framework that encourages mentees to embrace challenges, build resilience, and develop adaptability. Grounded in the belief that intelligence and abilities can be developed through effort, persistence, and constructive feedback, this approach reframes failure as a valuable opportunity for growth. Although mentorship programs are widely implemented across higher education and other professional settings, their design, focus, outcomes, and institutional support vary considerably. This paper proposes a conceptual framework for applying growth mindset principles to faculty mentorship and outlines practical strategies for institutions aiming to strengthen professional development initiatives. Evidence-based strategies for integrating growth mindset principles into the design and implementation of mentoring programs are discussed, emphasizing their capacity to positively influence academic and professional trajectories.

Keywords: mentorship, growth mindset, higher education

Introduction

University faculty have long held central roles in teaching, mentoring, and conducting innovative research (Ford, 2017). Despite these responsibilities, many faculty members experience psychological challenges such as self-doubt, impostor syndrome, and a diminished sense of belonging (Cokley et al., 2013; Chandra et al., 2019). These internal barriers may be more detrimental to professional success than deficiencies in technical skills or experience. Nevertheless, conventional mentorship models often fail to address these psychological factors, leaving faculty underprepared for the complex demands of academic life. These challenges are especially pronounced for early-career faculty, who frequently seek a strong sense of purpose and vocational alignment to sustain long-term careers in academia (De Smet et al., 2021; Hakala, 2019).

Literature Review

Mentorship has been shown to offer substantial career benefits (Allen et al., 2004), and it may play a critical role in addressing psychological barriers while fostering a sense of calling and purpose among faculty. Notably, research indicates that approximately 75% of faculty without mentors report a desire to have one (Lunsford et al., 2017). Despite this demand, many existing mentorship

programs rely heavily on informal relationships rather than implementing structured, intentional approaches aimed at promoting ongoing professional development (de Janasz & Sullivan, 2004). This gap highlights both a challenge and an opportunity for institutions: to design mentorship programs that not only facilitate knowledge transfer but also intentionally cultivate the psychological mindset required for sustained academic success.

Integrating Carol Dweck's (2006) growth mindset framework into structured faculty mentorship programs presents a promising strategy to address existing challenges and leverage opportunities to enhance faculty development, student outcomes, and institutional effectiveness. Mentorship programs grounded in the belief that abilities can be cultivated through effort, perseverance, and feedback have the potential to create transformative experiences for both mentors and mentees. This paper offers a conceptual and practical framework for applying growth mindset principles to faculty mentorship. Drawing from empirical literature on mindset theory, mentoring, and faculty development, it proposes evidence-informed strategies that institutions can implement to improve mentoring effectiveness and foster long-term professional growth.

The Growth Mindset Framework

The growth mindset framework differentiates between two core orientations toward learning and ability. Individuals with a fixed mindset view talent as innate and immutable, whereas those with a growth mindset believe that abilities can be developed through deliberate effort and effective strategies (Dweck, 2006; Yeager & Dweck, 2012). This conceptual distinction holds significant implications for professional development, particularly within mentorship contexts. Traditional mentorship models often emphasize the unidirectional transfer of knowledge from senior to junior faculty. While such approaches offer clear value, they may unintentionally reinforce hierarchical dynamics and promote fixed mindset assumptions about ability and expertise.

In contrast, a growth mindset approach to mentorship redefines the mentor-mentee relationship as a collaborative process of mutual growth and development. Rather than serving solely as sources of expertise or advice, mentors adopting this framework act as co-learners who model resilience, embrace challenges, and exemplify the ongoing nature of professional learning. This paradigm shift is particularly valuable in addressing the psychological barriers often encountered by early-career faculty. When mentors apply growth mindset principles, they help reframe struggle as a natural and constructive component of learning, rather than as a sign of inadequacy. Such reframing can be especially impactful for mentees experiencing impostor syndrome or fear of failure, offering them strategies for overcoming challenges while fostering confidence in their evolving competencies.

Mentee Development

A growing body of research highlights the effectiveness of growth mindset interventions across a range of educational settings. Large-scale studies have demonstrated that students exposed to growth mindset messaging exhibit significant gains in academic performance, with particularly strong effects observed among those at risk for underachievement (Paunesku et al., 2015). In higher education, institutional analyses of STEM courses reveal that students perform more poorly, and report decreased motivation when instructed by faculty who hold fixed mindset beliefs; these negative outcomes are especially pronounced for students from underrepresented backgrounds (Canning et al., 2019). Collectively, these findings underscore the potential value of applying growth mindset principles to faculty mentorship as a means of enhancing both individual and institutional outcomes.

The mentorship context presents a distinct opportunity to apply and benefit from growth mindset principles. Although relatively few studies have directly examined the integration of growth mindset frameworks into academic mentorship (Mason & Bogaard, 2023; Mason & Fluett, 2023), broader research on the use of growth mindset

strategies in pedagogical and career development settings offers valuable guidance. Studies exploring these applications (Cook-Sather et al., 2020; Mayled et al., 2019; McIntyre et al., 2020) provide preliminary insights into best practices for incorporating growth mindset principles into mentorship programs, suggesting promising directions for future implementation and research.

Research consistently demonstrates that when mentees perceive their mentors as holding growth mindset beliefs, they exhibit increased engagement, persistence, and a greater willingness to take intellectual risks (Muenks et al., 2020; Schunk & DiBenedetto, 2020). This perception fosters psychological safety, which is essential for authentic learning and professional growth, as it allows mentees to experiment, make mistakes, and extend beyond their comfort zones. Growth mindset mentoring also contributes to the development of academic self-efficacy—an established predictor of both academic achievement and long-term career success (Bandura, 1997; Trede et al., 2012; Zohar & Barzilai, 2013). When mentees are guided to focus on effort, strategy, and improvement rather than solely on outcomes, they demonstrate enhanced problem-solving abilities and adaptive learning behaviors (Schunk & Pajares, 2002; Blackwell et al., 2007).

Additionally, growth mindset mentorship is particularly valuable for individuals who face structural or cultural barriers in academia, including those from underrepresented groups who often experience lower retention rates (Claro et al., 2016). Research indicates that women in STEM fields who perceive their mentors as endorsing fixed mindset beliefs experience heightened impostor syndrome and a diminished sense of belonging (Canning et al., 2019). In contrast, growth mindset interventions have been shown to mitigate the effects of negative stereotypes and enhance both confidence and academic performance among women and other underrepresented student groups (Claro et al., 2016; Good et al., 2008; Rattan et al., 2012).

In addition to mitigating barriers, growth mindset mentorship actively cultivates metacognitive skills critical for sustained career success. When mentors prioritize process over outcomes and effort over innate ability, mentees enhance their abilities in goal setting, self-monitoring, and adapting to feedback (Ambrose et al., 2010; Tanner, 2012). These competencies are particularly valuable as faculty navigate increasingly interdisciplinary and dynamic academic environments, where success relies on adaptability and continuous learning rather than fixed expertise (Hora et al., 2020; National Research Council, 2012).

Mentor Development

The benefits of growth mindset mentorship extend beyond mentees to foster mentor development and professional satisfaction (Byars-Winston et al., 2015). Traditional mentorship models may lead experienced faculty to perceive their role primarily as transmitters of accumulated knowledge. In

contrast, growth mindset mentorship reframes mentors as active learners who evolve through their interactions with mentees (Byars-Winston et al., 2018; Pfund et al., 2014). This perspective can be professionally rejuvenating for seasoned faculty, as growth-oriented mentors remain engaged with emerging practices, critically reflect on their methods, and gain new insights from their mentees' experiences. Such dynamic engagement has the potential to revitalize faculty members' sense of purpose and influence, counteracting the career stagnation that can accompany academic seniority.

Growth mindset mentorship also promotes the development of mentors' adaptive expertise. By engaging with mentees from diverse backgrounds and with varying needs, mentors become more intentional, inclusive, and responsive in their approaches (Byars-Winston et al., 2018; Pfund et al., 2014). This increased versatility enhances their broader teaching and leadership roles, generating positive spillover effects throughout their professional practice. Empirical research supports these experiential benefits, with growth-minded mentors reporting greater satisfaction and confidence in their mentoring, especially when working with mentees from diverse or nontraditional backgrounds (Byars-Winston et al., 2015). Beyond supporting mentees, growth mindset mentoring contributes to the cultivation of inclusive leadership skills among mentors, a development particularly relevant for senior faculty preparing for administrative positions (Byars-Winston et al., 2015; Estrada et al., 2018). By modeling resilience, persistence, and openness to learning, these mentors both embody and reinforce the qualities they aim to foster in their mentees.

A Framework for Implementation

Mentorship structures vary widely across institutional types and disciplines (de Janasz & Sullivan, 2004; Lunsford et al., 2017). Doctoral universities may emphasize competitive, research-driven mentorship, whereas teaching-focused or minority-serving institutions may prioritize developmental and holistic mentoring approaches. Similarly, disciplinary norms also shape expectations. For instance, STEM fields may favor mentorship models focused on technical training, while the humanities often adopt more collaborative mentoring styles. The framework presented here is designed to be adaptable across institutional and disciplinary contexts. Furthermore, existing mentorship models, such as dyadic, peer, and network-based approaches, typically emphasize technical skill development, career progression, and/or psychosocial support (de Janasz & Sullivan, 2004). Although these approaches are beneficial, they often overlook the psychological dimensions of mentoring, including the development of resilience and self-efficacy. The growth mindset framework enhances traditional

models by addressing these developmental needs, offering a more holistic and adaptable approach across diverse academic contexts.

The successful integration of growth mindset principles into formal mentorship programs necessitates intentional and comprehensive design across all program components. Rather than approaching growth mindset as an isolated element, institutions should embed these principles throughout the program's development, implementation, and evaluation processes.

Program Design and Implementation

Mentorship programs should move beyond unstructured, informal models toward more structured approaches that emphasize not only traditional outcomes such as knowledge transfer and hierarchical advancement but also goals aligned with growth mindset values, including skill development, resilience, and learning agility. Programs seeking to improve their mentorship relationship among faculty may explicitly incorporate growth mindset principles into their mission statements and design mentor-mentee matching processes that account for individual learning styles, areas for growth, and complementary developmental needs, rather than relying solely on hierarchical relationships or demographic similarities.

Program structures should intentionally reinforce growth mindset values by fostering environments that encourage experimentation, the adoption of new strategies, learning from failures, and the sharing of insights within the broader community. Such practices motivate faculty to take intellectual risks and openly discuss both successes and setbacks. Incorporating regular opportunities for reflection enables participants to recognize their learning patterns, celebrate progress, and refine their approaches through self-assessment. Flexibility within programs is critical, allowing participants to revise goals and objectives as their skills and needs evolve. Given the time constraints faced by faculty, programs should emphasize efficient and adaptable mentoring formats—such as small peer groups or time-limited mentoring cycles—that integrate seamlessly with existing responsibilities, minimizing additional burden. To promote sustained engagement, institutions should incentivize faculty participation in mentorship through stipends, course releases, recognition awards, or public acknowledgment of mentorship achievements. (Pfund et al., 2014). This flexible design helps prevent programs from becoming rigid structures that could inadvertently hinder the growth they seek to promote.

The success of mentorship programs relies heavily on comprehensive training for all participants, including facilitators, mentors, and mentees. It is essential that all involved understand growth mindset principles and their practical application within mentorship contexts. Training should focus on modeling growth mindset language, responding constructively to setbacks,

and fostering psychologically safe environments that promote risk-taking and learning. Utilizing conversation guides that emphasize process over outcomes, effort over innate ability, and learning over performance can assist participants in navigating difficult discussions. Additionally, implementing structured methods for giving and receiving developmental feedback—centered on specific behaviors, strategies, and actionable next steps rather than general praise or criticism—can effectively operationalize growth mindset principles in everyday interactions.

Evaluation and Continuous Improvement

Assessment strategies should be aligned with growth mindset principles by focusing on outcomes such as achievement of learning goals, willingness to engage in challenging tasks, indicators of resilience, and proactive feedback-seeking behaviors. These measures often provide more meaningful insights than traditional metrics like satisfaction ratings or promotion rates, although both types of data may be valuable to track. Longitudinal evaluation methods are particularly important, as they allow for the examination of how growth mindset principles influence participants' career trajectories, leadership development, and ongoing learning behaviors beyond the formal duration of the program. Such findings not only support continuous program improvement but also contribute to the broader empirical understanding of growth mindset mentorship effectiveness.

To maximize their impact, growth mindset mentorship programs require alignment and support from broader institutional practices. Performance management systems, promotion criteria, and leadership development initiatives should be designed to reinforce growth mindset principles. Such integration prevents mentorship programs from functioning in isolation and fosters comprehensive environments that consistently prioritize learning, development, and continuous improvement. Establishing connections between mentorship programs and other institutional learning and development initiatives can further cultivate organizational cultures that genuinely embrace growth mindset values. As programs evolve, institutions can leverage insights from each cohort to refine program design, update materials, and enhance participant experiences while preserving the foundational principles of a growth mindset.

Discussion: Key Takeaways

The research and practical experience with growth mindset mentorship point toward a simple but powerful framework that creates positive feedback loops within mentoring relationships:

1. **Training on Growth Mindset Principles and Values:** Participants should be trained in growth mindset principles, values, and behaviors like providing constructive feedback, encouraging intellectual risk-taking, and offering support

through challenges.

2. **Mentee Empowerment:** Growth mindset mentoring will help mentees build resilience, confidence, and ambition while developing essential metacognitive skills and a sense of belonging and purpose.
3. **Mentor Satisfaction and Continued Development:** As mentees grow and succeed, mentors will experience renewed motivation and continued development, reinforcing their commitment to growth-oriented approaches.
4. **Sustainable Impact:** Participants will grow, learn, and eventually mentor others using similar principles, creating a sustainable impact across the institution.
5. **Program Evaluation and Refinement:** Programs should include regular evaluation, incorporate mentor and mentee feedback, and adapt based on outcomes to ensure continuous improvement.

This framework offers a practical guide for institutions aiming to implement effective mentorship programs. Achieving success necessitates intentional investment in professional development workshops that teach growth mindset principles, coaching models that emphasize effort-based feedback and learning from failure, and institutional policies that recognize and reward mentoring practices aligned with equity, inclusion, and continuous improvement.

Conclusion

At a time when higher education faces unprecedented challenges and rapid change, mentorship grounded in growth mindset principles provides a pathway to fostering more resilient, adaptable, and successful academic careers. By shifting the focus from fixed notions of ability to developmental potential, institutions can design mentorship programs that meaningfully transform both mentors and mentees. Growth mindset approaches help reduce psychological barriers and cultivate metacognitive skills that are critical for long-term success. When integrated into formal mentorship programs, these principles foster relationships characterized by mutual learning, shared growth, and reciprocal trust. Such relationships not only support individual career development but also contribute to creating cultures of belonging, opportunity, and collective success within academic communities.

The framework presented herein provides institutions with a roadmap for implementing growth mindset mentorship programs that generate lasting impact. By emphasizing potential over performance and nurturing growth among early-career faculty, institutions can cultivate an academic future in which all faculty members are positioned to thrive. While this framework is designed to be broadly applicable, it may be especially impactful in rapidly evolving STEM fields, where ongoing learning, responsiveness and adaptability are indispensable. Growth mindset mentorship extends beyond a programmatic

innovation; it represents a foundational commitment to human development and institutional excellence that is essential in today's evolving academic landscape.

References

- Allen, T. D., Eby, L. T., Poteet, M. L., Lentz, E., & Lima, L. (2004). Career benefits associated with mentoring for protégés: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 89*(1), 127-136. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.89.1.127>
- Ambrose, S. A., et al. (2010). *How learning works: Seven research-based principles for smart teaching*. Jossey-Bass.
- Bandura, A. (1997). *Self-efficacy: The exercise of control*. Freeman.
- Blackwell, L. S., Trzesniewski, K. H., & Dweck, C. S. (2007). Implicit theories of intelligence predict achievement across an adolescent transition: A longitudinal study and an intervention. *Child Development, 78*(1), 246-263.
- Byars-Winston, A., Branchaw, J., Pfund, C., Leverett, P., & Newton, J. (2015). Culturally diverse undergraduate researchers' academic outcomes and perceptions of their research mentoring relationships. *International Journal of Science Education, 37*(15), 2533-2554.
- Byars-Winston, A., et al. (2018). A pilot study of the National Research Mentoring Network's mentor training for diversity. *CBE—Life Sciences Education, 17*(3), ar24.
- Canning, E. A., Muenks, K., Green, D. J., & Murphy, M. C. (2019). STEM faculty who believe ability is fixed have larger racial achievement gaps and inspire less student motivation in their classes. *Science Advances, 5*(2), eaau4734. <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aau4734>
- Cook-Sather, A., Gauthier, L., & Foster, M. (2020). The Role of Growth Mindsets in Developing Pedagogical Partnership Programs: Findings from a Cross-Institutional Study. *The Journal of Educational Innovation, Partnership and Change, 6*(1). <https://doi.org/10.21100/JEIPC.V6I1.1004>
- De Janasz, S. C., & Sullivan, S. E. (2004). Multiple mentoring in academe: Developing the professorial network. *Journal of vocational behavior, 64*(2), 263-283.
- De Smet, A., Dowling, B., Mugayar-Baldocchi, M., & Schaninger, B. (2021). Great attrition or 'great attraction'? The choice is yours. *McKinsey Quarterly, 8*.
- Dweck, C. S. (2006). **Mindset: The new psychology of success**. Random House.
- Ford, M. (2017). The functions of higher education. *American Journal of Economics and Sociology, 76*(3), 559-578.
- Good, C., Aronson, J., & Harder, J. A. (2008). Problems in the pipeline: Stereotype threat and women's achievement in high-level math courses. *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology, 29*(1), 17-28.
- Hakala, J. (2009). The future of the academic calling? Junior researchers in the entrepreneurial university. *Higher Education, 57*, 173-190.
- Hora, M. T., Benbow, R. J., & Smolarek, B. B. (2020). Re-thinking soft skills and student employability: A new paradigm for undergraduate education. *Change: The Magazine of Higher Learning, 52*(6), 48-55. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00091383.2020.1846385>
- Lunsford, L. G., Crisp, G., Dolan, E. L., & Wuethe- rick, B. (2017). Mentoring in higher education. *The SAGE handbook of mentoring, 20*, 316-334.
- Mason, S., & Fluet, K. (2023). Mentoring economically disadvantaged computing students with a growth mindset: Embracing our own growth mindset by learning from first faculty perspectives of a novel endeavor. 2023 IEEE Frontiers in Education Conference (FIE), 1-8. <https://doi.org/10.1109/fie58773.2023.10343240>
- Mason, S., & Bogaard, D. (2023). Growth mindset mentoring - A midpoint review of developing and Adapting a faculty-student mentoring experience. The 24th Annual Conference on Information Technology Education, 184-185. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3585059.3611421>
- Mayled, L. H., Ross, L., Collofello, J., Krause, S. J., Hjelmstad, K. D., Sebold, B., & Hoyt, S. (2019). Coaching and feedback in a faculty professional development program that integrates the entrepreneurial mindset and pedagogical best practices into capstone design courses. ASEE Annual Conference and Exposition, Conference Proceedings. <https://doi.org/10.18260/1-2--32513>
- McIntyre, N., Amelink, C., & Bokor, J. (2020). Career development impacts of a research Program on Graduate Student and Post-doc mentors. 2020 ASEE virtual Annual Conference Content Access. <https://doi.org/10.18260/1-2--34260>
- Muenks, K., Canning, E. A., LaCosse, J., Green, D. J., & Murphy, M. C. (2020). Does my professor think I can succeed? *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 87*, 103936.
- National Research Council. (2012). *Education for Life and work: Developing transferable knowledge and skills in the 21st Cen-*

- ture. National Academies Press. <https://doi.org/10.17226/13398>
- Paunesku, D., et al. (2015). Mind-set interventions are a scalable treatment for academic underachievement. *Psychological Science, 26*(6), 784–793.
- Pfund, C., et al. (2014). Training mentors of clinical and translational research scholars: A randomized controlled trial. *Academic Medicine, 89*(5), 774–782.
- Rattan, A., Good, C., & Dweck, C. S. (2012). “It’s OK—Not everyone can be good at math”: Instructors with an entity theory demotivate students. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 48*(3), 731–737.
- Schunk, D. H., & DiBenedetto, M. K. (2020). Motivation and social-emotional learning: Theory, research, and practice. *Contemporary Educational Psychology, 60*, 101830.
- Schunk, D. H., & Pajares, F. (2002). The development of academic self-efficacy. In Wigfield, A., & Eccles, J. S. (Eds.), *Development of achievement motivation* (pp. 15–31). Academic Press.
- Tanner, K. D. (2012). Promoting student metacognition. *CBE—Life Sciences Education, 11*(2), 113–120. <https://doi.org/10.1187/cbe.12-03-0033>
- Trede, F., Macklin, R., & Bridges, D. (2012). Professional identity development: A review of the higher education literature. *Studies in Higher Education, 37*(3), 365–384. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2010.521237>
- Yeager, D. S., & Dweck, C. S. (2012). Mindsets that promote resilience: When students believe that personal characteristics can be developed. *Educational Psychologist, 47*(4), 302–314. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00461520.2012.722805>
- Zohar, A., & Barzilai, S. (2013). A review of research on metacognition in science education: Current and future directions. *Studies in Science Education, 49*(2), 121–169. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03057267.2013.847261>